

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,557	02/27/2004	C. Brent Dane	MICI 1003-2	9248
22470	7590 10/03/2006		EXAM	INER
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
P O BOX 366 HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1725	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/789,557	DANE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Geoffrey S. Evans	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	<u>luly 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) 2 and 4-21 is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
	or election requirement.				
Application Papers		,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· , •	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	4a hawa ha ay ayasi d				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		unlication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	·			
application from the International Burea		occivity in and Nadonal Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/789,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gawa et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,090,330 in view of Brown et al. in U.S. patent No. 3,698,797. Gawa et al. discloses a laser system (element 21) for producing an output beam, and target delivery optics (elements 28x,28y) to deliver the output beam to a target workpiece. Brown et al. teaches a laser attenuator with a relay telescope (using lenses 21,15) and a baffle (the second element 12 in figure 1) at the telescope focal point that is large enough to easily pass the output beam propagating to the target (since Brown et al. uses the later pinhole (element 13) to filter the light) and is small enough to block off angle and out of focus back reflections from the target delivery

Application/Control Number: 10/789,557

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

optics since the baffle absorbs energy of off angle reflections from the pinhole. It would

have been obvious to adapt Gawa et al. in view of Brown to provide this to attenuate the

power of the laser beam before it reaches the target workpiece. Regarding claim 3, the

baffle is considered to be shaped like a large pinhole or alternatively can be considered

a pinhole baffle since it is associated with a pinhole (element 13).

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Benjamin et al. in U.S. Patent No. 4,194,813 discloses a vacuum

chamber with a disc having an aperture. Back reflections in Benjamin et al. are

prevented from reaching the laser by having the edge of the focused beam impinge on

the edge of the aperture to produce plasma. Miyagawa in U.S. patent No. 4,832,446

has a spatial filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-

272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM,

alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is lassigned is (571)-273-8300.

Geoffrév S. Evans

GSE

Primary Examiner, Group 1700